



LAUNCESTON CITY COUNCIL

A Leader in Community & Government

COUNCIL MINUTES

**COUNCIL MEETING
30 JULY 2001**

LAUNCESTON CITY COUNCIL

A Leader in Community & Government

COUNCIL MINUTES

30 July 2001

MEETING HELD AT: Town Hall, Launceston

MEETING COMMENCEMENT: 1.00 pm

Martin Reynolds (Corporate Secretary)

Present:

Alderman	J B Lees (Mayor)
	A L Waddle (Deputy Mayor)
	R L McKendrick
	H G Brookes
	I J N Routley
	M E Smart
	R W Shipp
	J Walters
	R J Sands
	J Dickenson

In Attendance:

Mr R G Campbell (General Manager)
Mr M Reynolds (Corporate Secretary)
Mr S Pound (Committee Clerk/Admin Officer)

Apologies:

Alderman	G W Beams
Alderman	A C Peck

ORDER OF BUSINESS

Item No	Item	Page No
1	OPENING OF MEETING	1
2	DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTERESTS	1
3	PUBLIC QUESTION TIME	2
3.1	Mr J Dickenson – Importance of City Architect	2
3.2	Mr J Dickenson - Deputations	3
3.3	Mr J Dickenson – Harvey Norman/Transit Centre	4
4	DEPUTATION	5

CHAIRMAN:

MEETING BOOK
PAGE No.:

LAUNCESTON CITY COUNCIL

A Leader in Community & Government

COUNCIL MINUTES

30 July 2001

Item No	Item	Page No
5	CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES	6
6	ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR	7
6.1	Marketing Award	7
7	PETITIONS	8
8	BUSINESSES AND OPERATIONS	9
8.1	10 Morris Street - Construct Minor Works Associated With Strata Title Development	9
8.2	269 Wellington Street - Develop And Use The Premises For The Purpose Of A Family Planning Centre	13
8.3	56 Cimitiere Street - Replace Existing Signs With Two Internally Illuminated Roof Signs	19
8.4	9 View Street - Construct Multiple Dwelling	20
8.5	Proposed Launceston Regional Aquatic Centre	22
8.6	Swimming Centres - Resolution to make a by-law	24
8.7	Off-Street Parking - Resolution to Make a By-law	27
8.8	Charges At Willis And Cimitiere/Cameron Street Car Parks	34
8.9	Permanent Parking Permits At Cimitiere/Cameron Street Car Park	35
9	TOTAL WORKFORCE	36
10	STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT	37
10.1	Launceston Planning Scheme 1996 - Amendment 064 - Particular Use 11, 14 and 15	37
10.2	Operational Plan 2001/2002	38
10.3	Appointment of an Architect	39

CHAIRMAN:

**MEETING BOOK
PAGE No.:**

LAUNCESTON CITY COUNCIL

A Leader in Community & Government

COUNCIL MINUTES

30 July 2001

Item No	Item	Page No
11	INFRASTRUCTURE ASSETS	40
11.1	State-Council Partnership Agreement - Verge Maintenance, Cost-Sharing and Asset Takeover	40
11.2	Refuse Collection Service	42
11.3	Northern Tasmania Integrated Transport Plan	43
11.4	Acquisition of Land Associated with the Mowbray Levee from the Port of Launceston Authority for Flood Defence Purposes	44
11.5	Transfer of Funds from Unforeseen Budget to George Street (William to Cimitiere) Watermain Replacement	45
11.6	Tender Review Committee Meeting of 12th July 2001	46
11.7	Acquisition of Land Associated with the Kings Wharf Levee from Kevin Murray for Flood Defence Purposes	47
12	CORPORATE SERVICES	48
12.1	Policy - Deputations to Council - Approval by Council	48
13	GENERAL MANAGER	51
13.1	Grant Report - Tasmanian Turf Club Inc	51
13.2	Grant Report - Launceston Careers Expo	52
13.3	2001-2002 Operational Plan	53
13.4	Transfer - Inveresk Site	54
13.5	Advertise - Community Assistance Grant Program	55
13.6	Transit Centre / Harvey Norman Development	56
14	NOTICE OF MOTION	57
15	REPORTS BY THE MAYOR	57
16	REPORTS BY THE GENERAL MANAGER	57

CHAIRMAN:

**MEETING BOOK
PAGE No.:**

LAUNCESTON CITY COUNCIL

A Leader in Community & Government

COUNCIL MINUTES

30 July 2001

Item No	Item	Page No
17	ALDERMEN'S/DELEGATES' REPORTS	57
17.1	National Tree Day	57
17.2	Ikeda Sister City	58
17.3	Air Quality - Grant	59
17.4	Meeting at Lilydale - Roads	60
17.5	Southern Cross Care - Glenara	61
17.6	CISSTAS – Finalisation of Programs	62
18	MEETING CLOSURE	63

1 OPENING OF MEETING

The Mayor opened the Meeting at 1.00 pm.

2 DECLARATION OF PECUNIARY INTERESTS

Alderman Shipp declared a pecuniary interest in Item 13.1, Grant Report from the Tasmanian Racing Club.

3 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

3.1 Mr J Dickenson – Importance of City Architect – Agenda Item 10.3

Mr Dickenson asked a question relating to the appointment of a City Architect. Mr Dickenson asked if Council was aware of the importance of the City Architects position?

Mr Dickenson then asked if the position could be advertised nationally?

The Mayor answered the first question by stating that Council was fully aware of the importance of the position.

The Mayor replied to the second question by stating that the advertising of the position was a matter that may be raised when that Item was dealt with.

3.2 Mr J Dickenson - Deputations – Agenda Item 12.1

Mr Dickenson asked a question regarding the Council policy on deputations. Mr Dickenson asked if the intention of the new policy was to make it more difficult for an individual to make a deputation, or was the policy ambiguously worded?

The Mayor replied that the new policy is to give guidelines for deputations to Council. The guidelines have been established for the formal procedures of Council. For many deputations it is more appropriate to appear at a meeting of one of Council's Committees, where there is more opportunity for discussion. The guidelines for Council Meetings may vary from those applied when a deputation appears before a committee meeting. However, the essential point of all deputations was that sufficient advance notice was placed on the agenda of either a Council or committee meeting, of the nature/purpose of the deputation.

3.3 Mr J Dickenson – Harvey Norman/Transit Centre

Mr J Dickenson asked a question regarding Item 13.6, the proposed Harvey Norman/Transit Centre development. Mr Dickenson asked the Mayor to confirm whether or not the proposed multi story car park has been deleted from the proposal?

The Mayor replied that as this item fulfilled the requirements to be dealt with in Closed Council it was not possible to make a comment on the item.

4 DEPUTATION

Nil

5 CONFIRMATION OF MINUTES**RECOMMENDATION:**

1. That the Minutes of the meeting of the Launceston City Council held on 16 July 2001 be confirmed as a true and correct record.
2. That the Minutes of the meeting of the Launceston City Council held on 16 July 2001 in closed session be confirmed as a true and correct record.

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman A L Waddle, seconded Alderman R L McKendrick.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

6 ANNOUNCEMENTS BY THE MAYOR

6.1 Marketing Award

The Mayor reported that the Launceston City Council Marketing Department, City Marketing, had won a Marketing Excellence Award for the marketing of the Festival of the Senses.

The Mayor congratulated all those who had been involved.

7 PETITIONS

Nil

DIVISIONAL MINUTES ITEMS**8 BUSINESSES AND OPERATIONS**

Mr R Fisher and Mr P Mickan were in attendance to answer questions of Council in respect of Agenda Items 8.1 – 8.9 inclusive.

Mr A Frost was in attendance to answer questions of Council in respect of Agenda Items 8.8 – 8.9 inclusive.

8.1 10 Morris Street - Construct Minor Works Associated With Strata Title Development

FILE NO: DA0292/2001

AUTHOR: Paul Godier (Development Planner)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider an application to construct minor works associated with Strata Title Development.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council approve application DA0292/2001 to Construct minor works associated with Strata Title Development at 10 Morris Street subject to the following conditions:

1. AMENDED PLANS REQUIRED

Before the use and or development commences, the areas coloured red in the endorsed plans shall be amended to show the following requirements:

- a) The location of the existing gas supply tank is to be shown in its correct location and the layout of the Lot 11 car park amended to suit.
- b) The car parking area behind Lots 6 and 9 shall be modified so as to provide 2 extra car spaces with sufficient manoeuvring room to comply with AS 2890.1.
- c) The reference to visitor car spaces behind the two spaces shown as "P/O Lot 10" shall be removed.

8.1 10 Morris Street - Construct Minor Works Associated With Strata Title Development...(Cont'd)

- d) Each of the resulting 10 car spaces accessed off Bruce Street shall be identified and assigned to a corresponding unit at the rate of one car space to each dwelling.

The amended plans must be drawn to scale with dimensions and four copies must be provided. When approved by the Approvals Manager the plans will be endorsed and will then form part of the permit and shall supersede the original endorsed plans.

2. CAR PARKING

Before the use commences, areas set aside for parking vehicles and access lanes as shown on the endorsed plans must be:

- a) Properly constructed to such levels that they can be used in accordance with the plans.
- b) Surfaced with an all-weather sealcoat.
- c) Drained to Councils requirements.
- d) Linemarked to indicate each car space and access lanes.
- e) Designed so as to comply with the Australian Standard, AS 2890.1.

Parking areas and access lanes must be kept available for these purposes at all times.

3. MINOR WORKS IN ROAD RESERVE

The developer is to have the approved and associated minor works in the road reserve, as shown on the endorsed plan, constructed by suitably qualified persons to comply with current Council standard drawings (Series 7600) and completed to the satisfaction of the Infrastructure Assets Manager. Inspections are to be arranged with Council's Infrastructure Assets Division prior to the commencement of the public works, following preparation of the base (prior to concreting, paving or asphalt sealing) and at the completion of the works. A fee of \$50 for the inspection of minor public works is payable prior to the development commencing.

The public works are to include:

- a) Construction of the new concrete crossover(s) to match the existing kerb and pavement.
- b) New driveways must have a fully sealed surface of concrete, asphalt or square edged pavers to suit the existing streetscape.

8.1 10 Morris Street - Construct Minor Works Associated With Strata Title Development...(Cont'd)

- c) Any required alterations to underground services including pipes, conduits and cables.
- d) Where provided the footpaths serviceability is to be maintained by the driveway construction or adjacent footpath works to match the new driveway.
- e) Existing crossovers not used shall be removed and replaced with new kerbing to match the existing design along the street frontage.
- f) Existing driveways within the road reserve not used shall be removed and the area reinstated to match the adjacent areas.
- g) The works within the road reserve are limited to a maximum period of five days to avoid unnecessary disruption to pedestrian traffic.

4. METERED WATER SUPPLY

The developer is to provide the stratum subdivision with a single water connection to a Council water main, complete with a stop tap and an approved meter at the road boundary of the lot. All redundant connections to Council mains are to be removed and capped at the main. There is to be no water supply to the land that is not metered by a Council meter.

5. CONSTRUCTION PLANS

An "as constructed" plan on transparent polyester film shall be provided in accordance with Council's standard requirements for as constructed drawings. A separate copy of the requirements is available from the Infrastructure Assets Division.

6. FINAL PLAN OF SURVEY

The final plan of survey will not be sealed until all conditions have been complied with.

- 7. Any windows on boundaries created by the subdivision must be closed up.

DECISION: 30/07/01

Alderman Waddle gave a brief report as to the events in the Development Review Committee before this Item was discussed.

8.1 10 Morris Street - Construct Minor Works Associated With Strata Title Development...(Cont'd)

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman I J N Routley, seconded Alderman A L Waddle.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

8.2 269 Wellington Street - Develop And Use The Premises For The Purpose Of A Family Planning Centre

FILE NO: DA0291/2001

AUTHOR: Andrew West (Development Planner)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider an application to extend a building for use by the Family Planning Welfare Association.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council approve DA0291/2001 to develop and use a Family Planning Centre subject to the following conditions:

ENDORSED PLANS

1. The use and/or development as shown on the endorsed plans must not be altered without the written consent of the Manager Approvals.

2. **AMENDED PLANS REQUIRED**

Before the use and or development commences, the areas coloured red in the endorsed plans shall be amended to show the following requirements:

- a) Rearrangement of the car park to provide 6 spaces in compliance with AS2890.1.
- b) Provision of a crossover to West Street with a minimum clear width of 5.0 metres.
- c) A notation on the plan regarding the sealing of the car park and landscaping of remaining areas.

The amended plans must be drawn to scale with dimensions and four copies must be provided. When approved by the Approvals Manager the plans will be endorsed and will then form part of the permit and shall supersede the original endorsed plans.

3. **CAR PARKING**

Before the use commences, areas set aside for parking vehicles and access lanes as shown on the endorsed plans must be:

- a) Properly constructed to such levels that they can be used in accordance with the plans.

8.2 269 Wellington Street - Develop And Use The Premises For The Purpose Of A Family Planning Centre...(Cont'd)

- b) Surfaced with an all-weather sealcoat.
 - c) Drained to Councils requirements.
 - d) Linemarked to indicate each car space and access lanes.
- Parking areas and access lanes must be kept available for these purposes at all times.

4. MINOR WORKS IN ROAD RESERVE

The developer is to have the approved and associated minor works in the road reserve, as shown on the endorsed plan, constructed by suitably qualified persons to comply with current Council standard drawings (Series 7600) and completed to the satisfaction of the Infrastructure Assets Manager. Inspections are to be arranged with Council's Infrastructure Assets Division prior to the commencement of the public works, following preparation of the base (prior to concreting, paving or asphalt sealing) and at the completion of the works. A fee of \$50 for the inspection of minor public works is payable prior to the development commencing.

The public works are to include:

- a) Construction of the new concrete crossover(s) to match the existing kerb and pavement.
- b) New driveways must have a fully sealed surface of concrete, asphalt or square edged pavers to suit the existing streetscape.
- c) Any required alterations to underground services including pipes, conduits and cables.
- d) Existing crossovers not used shall be removed and replaced with new kerbing to match the existing design along the street frontage.
- e) Existing driveways within the road reserve not used shall be removed and the area reinstated to match the adjacent areas.
- f) The works within the road reserve are limited to a maximum period of five days to avoid unnecessary disruption to pedestrian traffic.

5. DIRECTIONAL SIGNAGE

A non-illuminated sign not exceeding 300mm x 300mm, advising clients of where they can park is to be placed at visible location in front of the building.

6. NOTIFICATION OF CARPARK

Written information is to be provided to Clients advising on the availability of off-street on-site carparking. A sign must also be displayed at the counter advising of that off-street carparking.

8.2 269 Wellington Street - Develop And Use The Premises For The Purpose Of A Family Planning Centre...(Cont'd)

MATTERS FOR CONSIDERATION**A. Planning Scheme Provisions**

The Intent of the Commercial Zone is as follows:

22.1 Intent of Zone

- 1 The Commercial Zone incorporates those areas which have a mixed business and retail character and are located outside the centres identified in the City's retail and business hierarchy;
- 2 Uses within the Commercial Zone are intended to support this hierarchy by meeting the needs of those business activities, which do not necessarily suit a business centre location. Suitable uses include motor vehicle retailers, vehicle parts retailers, hire yards, and other business which have low turnover per square metre of floor space, such as warehouse style retailers of furniture and household fittings; and
- 3 It is intended that discretion be exercised to ensure that new uses support and do not threaten the established retail and business hierarchy.

B. Internal Referrals

1. **Infrastructure Assets** commented as follows;

The proposal is to convert the existing residence at 269 Wellington Street into a small office. A car park for 6 car parks is to be constructed in the rear yard where there is direct access from the West Street cul de sac. There is no vehicular access to Wellington Street for this property. The car park is well laid out save for the access from West Street which is constrained by an existing garage. The use of this car park will effectively be restricted to staff only as the access via Howick St and then West St is somewhat circuitous. There is limited car parking available on the street frontage with Council limiting parking to 30 minutes only. It is likely that the low numbers of casual visitors will use the street parking rather than the rear car park.

Whilst the lot is some 15.00 metres wide, there is only 8.6 metres of frontage to West Street of which about 3.4 metres is occupied by a concrete garage (shown on the submitted plans as to be possibly demolished). The offset of the kerb in West Street leaves a possible driveway width of about 3.2 metres which is too small for two way use where a driveway of 5.0 metres width is required.

8.2 269 Wellington Street - Develop And Use The Premises For The Purpose Of A Family Planning Centre...(Cont'd)

The layout also make entry into the northern most space, No. 6, as this space is inset some way from the title boundary. Whilst West Street is a very quiet street with few traffic problems, the car park layout leaves little land left for landscaping and restricts access to one of the car spaces.

There are a couple of alternative layouts which provide for 6 accessible spaces and an increased area of landscaping. One example is attached.

2. **Environmental Health** has no objections.
3. **Approvals - Building** has not been consulted.
4. **Parks & Recreation** has no objection.

C. Representations

Mr A Harper
Mr M Lennsen

ASSESSMENT

A. Planning Scheme matters

Approval is sought to develop and use the premises at 269 Wellington St for Use by the Family Planning Welfare Association of Tasmania. The association provides a mixture of community and centre based activities in the area of sexual and reproductive health. An extension to the building is proposed to allow for adequate reception and waiting areas. The proposal includes 6 car spaces at the rear of the premises which is accessed off West St. The centre employs 4 full time equivalent staff and attracts approximately 15 clients per day. It is expected that many of the clients would be young people, a significant percentage of whom would not own vehicles. The applicant has indicated that many of their clients arrive without vehicles. The planning scheme requires a minimum of one car space per 40m² of floor area. The total area of the building including the proposed extensions will be approximately 216m², which means that the proposed 6 car spaces is adequate.

Two representations have been received from Mr Adrian Harper and Mr Michael Lennsen. Mr Harper runs the car accessories business on the adjacent property at 267 Wellington St and Mr Lennsen is the owner of the property. The concerns of the representors are as follows; (See also attached Letters)

- Clients to the family planning centre will park outside their shop or in their private car parks.
- They are proposing to lease the property at 265 Wellington St for the purpose of a car park and are concerned that clients of the Family Planning centre will use their car park.

8.2 269 Wellington Street - Develop And Use The Premises For The Purpose Of A Family Planning Centre...(Cont'd)

-
- Residential Streets are to be used for commercial access.
 - Pedestrians may use the right of way across 267 Wellington St to access the rear of 269 Wellington St
 - Vehicles may use 267 Wellington St to drive through to the West St access to 269 Wellington St.
 - Parking at 269 Wellington St is inadequate.

In response to the representors concerns, firstly, it can be said that neither the owners or the tenants of 267 Wellington St have any form of ownership over the on street parking spaces outside their shop. The spaces are to serve the needs of surrounding properties in the area. The Family Planning centre is expected to have approximately 15 clients per day, which equates to approximately 2 per hour. Most regular customers would be expected to park at the rear, however some parking on Wellington St is considered to be appropriate and 2 clients per hour is not expected to create a significant parking problem. The representors are also concerned that clients of family planning will park in there car park. Once again the low number of clients is not expected to create a parking problem however, it is not considered appropriate to prevent the proposed use proceeding on the grounds that clients may park on their neighbours property. The Family Planning centre is required to provide 1 car space per 40m² of floor area and it has approximately 216m² of floor area. Consequently 6 car spaces is considered adequate.

The representors are also concerned that Family Planning will be using a residential street for a commercial access. It must be noted that the representors themselves enjoy access of West St and the clients of family planning are only expected to have 15 clients per day, many of whom are young people who are likely to arrive on foot. No representations have been received by residents of West St and the access for staff and the proposed number of clients is considered appropriate.

The representors concerns relating to the use of the right of way over their property are difficult to understand. The right of way on title actually allows pedestrians and vehicles access over their property. It is not considered appropriate for Council to restrict this access, however, the Family Planning centre has it's own pathway and pedestrians are considered more likely to use this rather than walk across their neighbour's property. The representors are also concerned that vehicles will use their access of Wellington St to access the rear of the Family Planning centre. This is considered unlikely due to the low visibility of the through way and the low number of clients to the family planning centre. It is also not a problem that would be unique to the family planning centre, and if upheld would significantly restrict the use of this commercial site.

8.2 269 Wellington Street - Develop And Use The Premises For The Purpose Of A Family Planning Centre...(Cont'd)

CONCLUSION

The use of 269 Wellington St for the purpose of a family planning centre has relatively low client numbers and is considered to have minimal impacts on the amenity of the area and on adjoining development. The use is not contradictory to the intent of the zone and is considered appropriate.

DECISION: 30/07/01

Alderman Waddle made a short report about the events in the Development Review Committee Meeting Regarding this Item.

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman M E Smart, seconded Alderman J Walters.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

8.3 56 Cimitiere Street - Replace Existing Signs With Two Internally Illuminated Roof Signs

FILE NO: DA0299/2001

AUTHOR: Paul Godier (Development Planner)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider an application to replace existing signs with 2 internally illuminated roof signs.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council refuse application DA0299/2001 to replace existing signs with 2 internally illuminated roof signs on the following grounds:

1. The proposal is contrary to the purpose of the special provision relating to Advertising Signs.
2. The signs are considered unnecessary for identification and will cause visual disorder and clutter.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman A L Waddle, seconded Alderman I J N Routley.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

8.4 9 View Street - Construct Multiple Dwelling**FILE NO:** DA0271/2001**AUTHOR:** Andrew West (Development Planner)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider an application to construct a two-storey Multiple Dwelling at 9 View St.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:**Nil****RECOMMENDATION:**

That Council approve DA0271/2001 to construct a Multiple Dwelling at 9 View St subject to the following conditions:

Conditions:

ENDORSED PLANS

1. The use and/or development as shown on the endorsed plans must not be altered without the written consent of the Manager Approvals.

2. LANDSCAPING REQUIREMENTS

- (i) Landscape plan to be provided for approval by Manager Parks & Recreation.
- (ii) Planting areas to have a proprietary irrigation system installed.

3. FENCING

Screen fences are to be provided at a height of no less than 1.8m on the side and rear property boundaries.

4. WATER CONNECTIONS

The developer is to provide the unit with a DN 20 water connection from the existing water main in View St, each with a stop tap and meter at the boundary of the land.

5. CAR PARKING

Before the use commences, areas set aside for parking vehicles and access lanes as shown on the endorsed plans must be:

- a) Properly constructed to such levels that they can be used in accordance with the plans.

8.4 9 View Street - Construct Multiple Dwelling...(Cont'd)

- b) Surfaced with an all-weather sealcoat.
- c) Drained to Councils requirements.
- d) Linemarked to indicate each car space and access lanes complying with AS2890.1.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman R W Shipp, seconded Alderman I J N Routley.

That the Recommendation be adopted, subject to the amendment that the words "at the cost of the applicant" be included in point 3, Fencing, following the word "boundaries".

CARRIED

8.5 Proposed Launceston Regional Aquatic Centre

FILE NO: SF0873/SF0874

AUTHOR: Kym Shilton (Project Manager)

DECISION STATEMENT:

The purpose of this item is to outline the business case for a Major Regional Indoor Aquatic Leisure Centre and secure Councils in principle decision to progress the project.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Council Meeting	10 th Sept. 2000	Agenda item 8
Council Meeting	20 th Dec. 1999	Agenda item 15
Council Meeting	13 Sept. 1999	Agenda item 22
Council Meeting	8 th Nov. 1999	Agenda item 22.9

RECOMMENDATION:

1. That Council commit in principle to:
 - Build a new indoor aquatic facility in Launceston within five years,
 - that Option A - Major Regional Indoor Aquatic Leisure Centre (*outlined in the attached Facility Options and Business Plans Consultant Report*), be adopted as the preferred facility model with associated capital cost estimates of \$17.2 million dollars (October 2000 estimates)
 - that Council commit funding to the project within the vicinity of \$8 million (year 2000 dollars)
2. That Council's support for the project be subject to securing regional support for Option A and a multi-lateral funding agreement with other levels of government or the private sector.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION: (1):

Moved Alderman R J Sands, seconded Alderman J Walters.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

8.5 Proposed Launceston Regional Aquatic Centre...(Cont'd)

RESOLUTION: (2):

Amendment:

Moved Alderman R L McKendrick, seconded Alderman M E Smart.

That the Recommendation be re-worded so that point 2 reads:

- 2. That Council's support for the project be subject to securing regional support and funding at a formula to be agreed, for Option A and a multi-lateral funding agreement with other levels of government, Federal or State and/or the private sector, within a 2 year time frame.**

Also a new point three is included to read:

- 3. Regional support to include, as a minimum, the councils of West Tamar, Northern Midlands, Meander Valley and George Town.**

CARRIED

The amendment became the substantive motion and was put and CARRIED

8.6 Swimming Centres - Resolution to make a by-law**FILE NO:** SF0054**AUTHOR:** S Pound (Administration Officer)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To make a by-law under Section 145 of the *Local Government Act* 1993 on Swimming Centres.

To make a by-law Council must pass this resolution by an absolute majority.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Date of resolution of intention to make by-law 4 June 2001

Closing Date for Submissions 9 July 2001

Submissions Received Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council make a by-law to amend a by-law in the following terms with regard to Swimming Centres under Section 145 of the *Local Government Act* 1993 and give Council's authority to affix the Common Seal to the relevant documents.

**LAUNCESTON CITY COUNCIL
SWIMMING CENTRES (AMENDMENT) BY-LAW
BY - LAW NO. 17 OF 2001**

A By-Law of the Launceston City Council made under section 145 of the Local Government Act 1993 to amend the Swimming Centres By-Law number 14 of 1998

PART 1 - PRELIMINARY

8.6 Swimming Centres - Resolution to make a by-law...(Cont'd)

1. Short title

This by-law may be cited as the Swimming Centres Amendment By-law, Number 17 of 2001

2. Amendments

The Swimming Centres By-law Number 14 of 1998, is amended as follows:

- (a) delete the definition of "authorised officer" in clause 4 and substitute:

"authorised officer" includes an attendant, the supervisor, a member of the Tasmania Police and any person authorised in writing by the General Manager.

- (b) delete the definition of "Director" in clause 4;

- (c) delete the definition of "General Manager" in clause 4 and substitute:

"General Manager" means the person holding the position of General Manager with the Council or a person acting in that position.

- (d) delete the definition of "Manager" in clause 4.

The seal of the Launceston City Council was placed on this document on the day of 2001 pursuant to a resolution of Council made on the day of 2001 in the presence of

.....
Alderman J.B Lees
MAYOR

.....
ALDERMAN

8.7 Off-Street Parking - Resolution to Make a By-law**FILE NO:** SF0068**AUTHOR:** S Pound (Administration Officer)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To make a by-law under Section 145 of the *Local Government Act* 1993 on Off-Street Parking.

For Council to make a by-law requires a resolution passed by and absolute majority.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Date of resolution to make a by-law. 4 June 2001

Closing date of submissions. 9 July 2001

Submissions Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council make a by-law to amend a by-law in the following terms with regard to Off-Street Parking under Section 145 of the *Local Government Act* 1993 and give Council's authority to affix the Common Seal to the relevant documents.

**LAUNCESTON CITY COUNCIL
OFF STREET PARKING AREAS CONTROLLED BY COUNCIL
(AMENDMENT) BY-LAW
BY - LAW NO. 20 OF 2001**

A By-Law of the Launceston City Council made under Section 145 of the Local Government Act 1993, to amend the Off Street Parking Areas Controlled by Council By-Law number 8 of 1996.

PART 1 - PRELIMINARY

8.7 Off-Street Parking - Resolution to Make a By-law...(Cont'd)

1. Short title

This by-law may be cited as the Off Street Parking Areas Controlled by Council (Amendment) By-law, Number 20 of 2001.

2. Amendments

The Off Street Parking Areas Controlled by Council By-law Number 8 of 1996, is amended as follows:

(a) delete the definition of "Authorised Officer" in clause 4 and substitute:

“authorised officer” means a person appointed by the Council to control a parking area and any person authorised in writing by the General Manager

(b) amend clause 5(3)(a) by substituting the amount of \$10 for the amount of \$9;

(c) amend clause 5(3)(b) by substituting the amount of \$20 for the amount of \$15;

(d) amend clause 7(3)(a) by substituting the amount of \$10 for the amount of \$9;

(e) amend clause 7(3)(b) by substituting the amount of \$20 for the amount of \$15;

(f) amend clause 8(3)(a) by substituting the amount of \$10 for the amount of \$9;

(g) amend clause 8(3)(b) by substituting the amount of \$20 for the amount of \$15;

(h) amend clause 9(3)(a) by substituting the amount of \$10 for the amount of \$9;

(i) amend clause 9(3)(b) by substituting the amount of \$20 for the amount of \$15;

(j) amend clause 10(3)(a) by substituting the amount of \$10 for the amount of \$9;

8.7 Off-Street Parking - Resolution to Make a By-law...(Cont'd)

- (k) amend clause 10(3)(b) by substituting the amount of \$20 for the amount of \$15;
- (l) amend clause 11(4)(a) by substituting the amount of \$10 for the amount of \$9;
- (m) amend clause 11(4)(b) by substituting the amount of \$20 for the amount of \$15;

The seal of the Launceston City Council was hereunto affixed this
day of 2001 pursuant to a resolution of Council made on the
day of 2001 in the presence of:

.....
Alderman J.B Lees
MAYOR

.....
ALDERMAN

.....
R.G. Campbell
GENERAL MANAGER

Certified as being in accordance with the law.

.....
Shaun McElwaine
REGISTERED LEGAL PRACTITIONER

Confirmed by me this day of 2001,

at

8.7 Off-Street Parking - Resolution to Make a By-law...(Cont'd)

.....
The Hon. J Bacon MHA
Premier
Minister Responsible
for the Local Government Act

REGULATORY IMPACT STATEMENT

**LAUNCESTON CITY COUNCIL
OFF STREET PARKING AREAS CONTROLLED BY
COUNCIL AMENDMENT BY-LAW
NUMBER 20 OF 2001**

Objectives of the By-law

The object of the Launceston City Council's Off Street Parking Areas Controlled By Council By-law is to control the parking of vehicles and other activities on land owned by or under the control of the Council which is used for off street parking. The Amendment By-law proposes to increase the fines imposed by the Off Street Parking Areas Controlled By Council By-law.

Background

The proposed By-law is an amendment to Council's current By-law Number 8 of 1996 Off Street Parking Areas Controlled By Council.

8.7 Off-Street Parking - Resolution to Make a By-law...(Cont'd)

This proposed by-law increases the amount of fines imposed under By-law 8 of 1996. A \$9.00 fine will be increased to \$10.00 and \$20.00 14 days or more after the issue of an infringement notice but before the filing of a complaint.

Does the By-law Restrict Competition ?

Council believes the by-law will place no restriction on competition as it deals with purely governance matters, such as the control and management of off street parking areas controlled by Council.

Does the By-law Impact on Business ?

The Council believes that the by-law will not have a negative impact on business as it deals with purely governance matters.

Other Options Considered

Nil

Justification of Public Interest

Prior to the amendment by-law being drafted consultation was undertaken with stakeholders in the Central Business District of Launceston. There was agreement that the current parking fines do not seem severe enough to encourage compliance with parking restrictions.

It is proposed to increase the initial fine amount to \$10.00, an increase of only \$1.00. The fine will increase to \$20.00 if not paid within fourteen days from the date of issue of the infringement notice - this is an increase of \$5.00.

The increase of the fine if not paid after fourteen days by \$5.00 is to encourage offenders to pay within the fourteen day window.

Public Consultation Process

The following consultation process will now occur:

1. The Council will debate a recommendation that it make a by-law to amend the Off Street Parking Areas Controlled by Council By-law.
2. Council will pass a motion that it intends to make the by-law.

8.7 Off-Street Parking - Resolution to Make a By-law...(Cont'd)

3. It will then publish notice of that resolution:

- a) once in the Examiner newspaper
- b) by displaying the notice on the notice board at the Town Hall from the day when the newspaper advertisement is first published until the end of the submission period which is specified in the notice.

The notice will state the following:

- (i) the purposes and general effect of the by-law;
- (ii) that a copy of the by-law and of the Regulatory Impact Statement may be inspected at the Council Chambers until the specified day ;
- (iii) that a copy of the By-law and Regulatory Impact Statement may be purchased for the cost of \$4.00 at the Town Hall until the specified day;
- (iv) that submissions in respect of the by-law may be made in writing, addressed to and lodged with the General Manager, stating the grounds of the submission and the facts relied upon to support those grounds;
- (v) that a submission must be lodged before the specified day.

The specified day will be no earlier than 21 days after the publication of the notice in the Examiner newspaper.

4. The General Manager will make copies of the proposed by-law and the Regulatory Impact Statement available for inspection or purchase by the public until the day specified in the notice.
5. The Council will consider all submissions that have been made to it concerning the by-law and, if it decides to amend it as a result of any of these submissions, it will do so by absolute majority. The Council does not need to give further public notice unless an amendment substantially changes the purpose or effect of the proposed by-law.

Do you have any concerns or comments ?

Submissions about the by-law and Regulatory Impact Statement may be made in writing, addressed to and lodged with the General Manager, stating the reasons for the submission and the facts relied upon to support those reasons.

8.7 Off-Street Parking - Resolution to Make a By-law...(Cont'd)

The submission must be received before the day specified in the advertisement which is no earlier than 21 days after the publication of the advert in the Examiner newspaper.

The Council will consider all submissions that have been made to it concerning the by-law and the Regulatory Impact Statement and, if it decides to amend the by-law as a result of any of these submissions it will do so by absolute majority. The Council does not need to give further public notice unless an amendment substantially changes the purpose or effect of the proposed by-law.

If you make a submission you will be notified of Council's decision in writing.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman H G Brookes, seconded Alderman A L Waddle.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

8.8 Charges At Willis And Cimitiere/Cameron Street Car Parks**FILE NO:** 4654**AUTHOR:** Andrew Frost (Manager Parking)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To determine the daily parking charge at the Willis Street car park and charges at the Cimitiere /Cameron Street car park.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

An item was discussed at Council 16/07/01 to determine the charges at the Cimitiere/Cameron Street car park. Council agreed to defer this decision until this meeting so that the Willis Street car park charges could also be discussed.

RECOMMENDATION:

To increase the daily parking charge at the Willis Street car park from \$0.50 per day to \$2.50 per day and to charge \$1.20 per hour at the Cimitiere/Cameron Street car park.

DECISION: 30/07/01**RESOLUTION:**

Moved Alderman I J N Routley, seconded Alderman H G Brookes.

That the Recommendation be adopted, subject to the amendment that the charge of \$2.50 per day be altered to 2.00 per day.

CARRIED

8.9 Permanent Parking Permits At Cimitiere/Cameron Street Car Park**FILE NO:** 4654**AUTHOR:** Andrew Frost (Manager Parking)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To determine the charge of permanent parking permits at the Cimitiere/Cameron Street car park.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:**Nil****RECOMMENDATION:**

To give the Manager Parking the capacity to charge \$70.00 plus G.S.T. for permanent parking permits in the Cimitiere/Cameron Street car park. This charge would be for a four week period.

DECISION: 30/07/01**RESOLUTION:****Moved Alderman H G Brookes, seconded Alderman R W Shipp.****That the Recommendation be adopted.****CARRIED**

9 TOTAL WORKFORCE

Nil

10 STRATEGIC DEVELOPMENT

Mr I Abernethy was in attendance to answer questions of Council in respect of Agenda Items 10.1 – 10.3 inclusive.

10.1 Launceston Planning Scheme 1996 - Amendment 064 - Particular Use 11, 14 and 15

FILE NO: SF3183

AUTHOR: Karin Van Straten (Policy/Projects Planner)

DECISION STATEMENT:

That Council resolves that draft amendment 064 meets the requirements of Section 32 of the Land Use and Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Council Meeting 18 June 2001 – Agenda Item 10.1

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council resolves that draft amendment 064 meets the requirements of Section 32 of the Land Use and Planning and Approvals Act 1993.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman A L Waddle, seconded Alderman I J N Routley.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

10.2 Operational Plan 2001/2002

FILE NO: SF3196

AUTHOR: Ian Abernethy

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider the Operational Plan 2001/2002.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

The matter was considered at Strategic Planning and Policy – July 23rd 2001.

RECOMMENDATION:

That the Operational Plan for 2001/2002 be adopted.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman I J N Routley, seconded Alderman R W Shipp.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

10.3 Appointment of an Architect

FILE NO: SF0081

AUTHOR: Ian Abernethy (Manager Strategic Development)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider the appointment of an architect under the Local Government Act.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

The matter was last considered many years ago when Tim Domeney was appointed architect.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council appoints Mr Chris Zidak as the City Architect in terms of the Local Government Act 1993 and that the relevant documentation be forwarded to the Office of Local Government.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman H G Brookes, seconded Alderman R W Shipp.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

11 INFRASTRUCTURE ASSETS

Mr W Piesse and Mr D Naughton were in attendance to answer questions of Council in respect of Agenda Items 11.1 – 11.7 inclusive.

Mr R Holmes was in attendance to answer questions of Council in respect of Agenda Items 11.2 inclusive.

Mr S Ratcliffe was in attendance to answer questions of Council in respect of Agenda Items 11.4 – 11.7 inclusive.

11.1 State-Council Partnership Agreement - Verge Maintenance, Cost-Sharing and Asset Takeover

FILE NO: SF2485

AUTHOR: Damien Naughton (Roads Manager)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To decide whether to agree to proposals regarding Verge Maintenance, cost-Sharing for Upkeep of Council Roads Linking State Highways, and Asset Takeover.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Agenda Item 9 of Council's Strategic Planning and Policy Committee on 11 September 2000, and Agenda Item 22 of Council's Meeting of 2 October 2000.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council advises the Department of Infrastructure Energy and Resources (DIER) as follows:

1. Verge Maintenance: that it is prepared to take over responsibility for routine maintenance of DIER's travel lanes on the following sections of DIER roads, providing DIER pays Council \$9,000 per annum, indexed to CPI:
 - Hoblers Bridge Road/St Leonards Road (Rail Crossing to end of footpath near Darleymore Lane)
 - Tasman Highway (St Leonards Road to end of footpath near Naroo Street)
 - Lilydale Road (George Town Road to end of footpath near Russell Plains Road).

11.1 State-Council Partnership Agreement - Verge Maintenance, Cost-Sharing and Asset Takeover...(Cont'd)

2. Cost-Sharing Agreement: that it is prepared to modify its previous agreement and accept the cost-sharing below for the full cost of maintenance and upkeep of the through-traffic lanes on the Bathurst-Wellington Street couplet and on the east-west links from Tasman Highway to West Tamar Highway:

Link route	DIER Cost-Share	Launceston City Council cost-share
North-South	67%	33%
East-West	100%	0%

3. Asset Takeover: That council advise DIER that it is prepared to accept asset responsibilities for the Hobart Road Youngtown underpass and the Wellington/Westbury pedestrian overbridges providing DIER pays Council \$22,000 per annum, indexed to CPI, the estimated life-cycle cost burden for their ownership.

DECISION: 30/07/01**RESOLUTION:**

Moved Alderman I J N Routley, seconded Alderman A L Waddle.

That the Recommendation be adopted, subject to the amendment that a new point 4 be included to read:

- 4. The agreement is to be reviewed every 5 years.**

CARRIED

11.2 Refuse Collection Service

FILE NO: SF0630 / SF0632

AUTHOR: Robert Holmes (Waste Management Co-ordinator)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To define the scope of service for the next refuse collection contract.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

- a) That tender documentation be advertised for a collection service to begin 1st July 2002 to provide for a number of options in separable parts to include -
 - a weekly mobile garbage bin service,
 - a weekly central city bag collection,
 - a fortnightly mobile garbage bin service to be considered in conjunction with kerbside recycling,
 - a fortnightly kerbside crate recycling service,
 - special annual clean up for house hold waste, and,
- b) That the tender be considered initially by the tender review committee with a recommendation referred to a closed session of Council for a final decision,
- c) That work commence concurrently on the draft by-law to cater for the new service.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman J Dickenson, seconded Alderman J Walters.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

11.3 Northern Tasmania Integrated Transport Plan

FILE NO: SF2485

AUTHOR: Damien Naughton (Roads Manager)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider the outcomes of the recent workshop for the Northern Tasmania Integrated Transport Plan.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Agenda Item 11.1 of Council meeting of 4 June 2001 – decided that Alderman Dickenson and Alderman Routley should represent Council at the workshop.

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council note and support the main outcomes of the Northern Tasmanian Integrated Transport Plan workshop held on 27-28 June 2001.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman I J N Routley, seconded Alderman R W Shipp.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

11.4 Acquisition of Land Associated with the Mowbray Levee from the Port of Launceston Authority for Flood Defence Purposes

FILE NO: SF0570

AUTHOR: Steve Ratcliffe (Water and Catchment Manager)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To determine if Council should acquire 0.283 hectares of land at 42-66 Gilmore Street for flood defence purposes from the PLA.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council agrees to purchase the land shown as lot 1 on the attached plan.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman I J N Routley, seconded Alderman R L McKendrick.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

11.5 Transfer of Funds from Unforeseen Budget to George Street (William to Cimitiere) Watermain Replacement

FILE NO: SF1469

AUTHOR: Steve Ratcliffe (Water & Catchment Manager)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To approve the retrospective allocation of funds from the unforeseen budget to fund the replacement of a 150mm-dia watermain in George Street.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That \$39,000 be transferred from the Infrastructure Assets unforeseen capital allocation to fund replacement of 136 m of the 150 mm dia UPVC Class 12.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman R L McKendrick, seconded Alderman A L Waddle.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

11.6 Tender Review Committee Meeting of 12th July 2001

FILE NO: SF0100

AUTHOR: Geoff Brayford (Manager – Infrastructure Assets)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider the decisions taken by the Tender Review Committee at the above meeting.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That the information be noted.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman H G Brookes, seconded Alderman R J Sands.

CARRIED

11.7 Acquisition of Land Associated with the Kings Wharf Levee from Kevin Murray for Flood Defence Purposes

FILE NO: SF0573 / 27731

AUTHOR: Steve Ratcliffe (Water & Catchment Manager)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To determine if Council should acquire 0.28 hectares of land at 1 River Street for dredging contract and flood defence purposes from Mr Kevin Murray.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

DA0042/2001

RECOMMENDATION:

That this item be dealt with in Closed Council as it concerns proposals for the acquisition of land or disposal of land, which is not public land.

This Item was dealt with in Closed Council

The Mayor adjourned the Meeting at 3.04 pm.

The Mayor reconvened the Meeting at 3.20 pm.

12 CORPORATE SERVICES

Mr M Tidey was in attendance to answer questions of Council in respect of Agenda Items 12.1 inclusive.

12.1 Policy - Deputations to Council - Approval by Council

FILE NO: SF0997

AUTHOR: Martin Reynolds (Corporate Secretary)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To review policy and develop guidelines for Deputations attending Council.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Policy Number CSD 11 – Minute No 25 of 22 May 1995

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council approve the draft policy on Deputations to Council. The policy is listed below.

POLICY NUMBER 07/2001

Page 1 of 2

SUBJECT: DEPUTATIONS TO COUNCIL

Statement

A deputation may be an individual, a group or a business wishing to make a submission to Council. The deputation must conform to one of the eligibility criteria listed below to be included on a Council meeting agenda.

Eligibility Criteria

A deputation can be either:

12.1 Policy - Deputations to Council - Approval by Council...(Cont'd)

- a) From an individual, group or business associated with a decision that Council has to make.
- b) From community based organisations that wish to request support or assistance from Council, or make a report to Council.
- c) From government agencies that have business with Council.
- d) From businesses or individuals that have commercial proposals before Council.
- e) From individuals, groups or businesses that Council has invited to a meeting.

Guidelines on Deputations relating to Development Applications

1. When a person wishes to make a deputation to Council, a party who has made a formal submission to Council expressing an opposing point of view on the matter is to be invited to make a deputation to Council at the same meeting.
2. If there are two or more parties who wish to make a deputation in opposition, they are to be invited to make a joint deputation.
3. When there is a party in favour and a party against a proposal making a deputation, each party is to have a maximum of 15 minutes in which to present their argument to Council.

Operating Rules

- I. A maximum of 2 deputations per meeting unless the Mayor or General Manager authorise additional deputations.
- II. A maximum of 3 persons per deputation unless Council agrees to a greater number.
- III. A letter confirming attendance plus information on conduct to be provided to each deputation by the Corporate Secretariat Department prior to the Council meeting.
- IV. A maximum time of 30 minutes per deputation unless a longer time is agreed to by Council. Presentation to be of 10-15 minutes duration with a further 10-15 minutes for questions and close.
- V. A summary of points arising from the presentation to be recorded in the minutes of the Council meeting.
- VI. A booking of a deputation is to be made through the Corporate Secretariat Department on a "first-in, best dressed" basis.
- VII. The Mayor or Acting Mayor, or in the absence of either, the General Manager shall give final approval for a deputation to be placed on the agenda.

DECISION: 30/07/01

12.1 Policy - Deputations to Council - Approval by Council...(Cont'd)

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman A L Waddle, seconded Alderman H G Brookes.

That the Recommendation be adopted, subject to the amendment that a new point VIII under "Operating Rules be included to read:

- VIII. Any deputation is to provide sufficient background information for inclusion on the agenda, to make the nature of the deputation clear to Aldermen prior to the meeting.

CARRIED

13 GENERAL MANAGER**13.1 Grant Report - Tasmanian Turf Club Inc**

FILE NO: SF0486

AUTHOR: Gary W Stokes (Manager Civic Affairs)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider a report on a grant.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council note the report from the Tasmanian Turf Club Inc concerning the grant for the City of Launceston Trophy Meeting.

DECISION: 30/07/01

Alderman Shipp declared a pecuniary interest in this item and withdrew from the chamber while this issue was discussed.

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman I J N Routley, seconded Alderman A L Waddle.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

Alderman Shipp re-attended.

13.2 Grant Report - Launceston Careers Expo

FILE NO: SF0486

AUTHOR: Gary W Stokes (Manager Civic Affairs)

DECISION STATEMENT:

To receive a report on a grant.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council note the report regarding the Launceston Careers Expo.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman R L McKendrick, seconded Alderman J Walters.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

13.3 2001-2002 Operational Plan

FILE NO: SF3196

AUTHOR: R G Campbell – General Manager

DECISION STATEMENT:

To approve the Operational Plan for the 2001-2002 financial year.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil.

RECOMMENDATION:

Council approve the Operational Plan for the 2001-2002 financial year.

DECISION: 30/07/01

This item was dealt with under Strategic Development, Item 10.2.

13.4 Transfer - Inveresk Site

FILE NO: SF0317

AUTHOR: R G Campbell – General Manager

DECISION STATEMENT:

To accept ownership of the Inveresk site.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

Council accept the transfer of ownership for the Inveresk site from the State Government.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman R L McKendrick, seconded Alderman A L Waddle.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED

13.5 Advertise - Community Assistance Grant Program

FILE NO: SF0488

AUTHOR: Martin Reynolds (Corporate Secretary) for Ald Dickenson

DECISION STATEMENT:

To consider a Notice of Motion from Alderman Dickenson for Council to consider advertising its Community Assistance Program.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Nil

RECOMMENDATION:

That Council advertise its Community Assistance Grants Program.

DECISION: 30/07/01

RESOLUTION:

Moved Alderman J Dickenson, seconded Alderman J Walters.

That the Recommendation be adopted.

CARRIED ON A SHOW OF HANDS 6:3

13.6 Transit Centre / Harvey Norman Development

FILE NO: SF2405

AUTHOR: R G Campbell – General Manager

DECISION STATEMENT:

To determine future action on the Transit Centre / Harvey Norman development.

PREVIOUS COUNCIL CONSIDERATION:

Council Meeting	18 June 2001
Council Meeting	4 June 2001
Council Meeting	9 April 2001
Council Meeting	26 March 2001

RECOMMENDATION:

That this item be dealt with in Closed Council as it concerns proposals for the acquisition of land or disposal of land, which is not public land.

This Item was dealt with in Closed Council.

14 NOTICE OF MOTION

Nil

15 REPORTS BY THE MAYOR

Nil

16 REPORTS BY THE GENERAL MANAGER

Nil

17 ALDERMEN'S/DELEGATES' REPORTS**17.1 National Tree Day**

Alderman R J Sands gave a report on the success of the National Tree Day activities held on Friday the 27 July 2001. Alderman Sands reported on the tree planting session at Kings Meadows and outlined the state wide activities held.

17.2 Ikeda Sister City

Alderman J Walters reported that 4 students from Ikeda are in Launceston for 2 weeks. The students are staying with Launceston families as part of an exchange program and are undertaking various activities while they are here.

17.3 Air Quality - Grant

Alderman A L Waddle reported that Senator J Newman had announced a \$2 million campaign to reduce air pollution by giving individuals a contribution towards upgrading their old wood combustion heaters. The program will be advertised and the funds become available later in the year.

17.4 Meeting at Lilydale - Roads

Alderman A L Waddle reported on a meeting held at Lilydale to discuss the options regarding possible changes to the highway from Launceston to the North East currently going through Lilydale. Alderman Waddle, Alderman Shipp and Alderman Walters attended the meeting and various issues were discussed.

17.5 Southern Cross Care - Glenara

Alderman I J N Routley gave a report about the Southern Cross Care development at Glenara. Alderman Routley commented on the work that has gone into this development.

17.6 CISSTAS – Finalisation of Programs

Alderman M E Smart gave a report on the programs CISSTAS currently have in operation. CISSTAS functions will continue to be carried out through educational institutions, working on a collaborative basis.

Alderman Smart gave an outline of projects currently operating including the Group for International Families, English Language for Spouse and the Tassie Link program.

18 MEETING CLOSURE

RESOLUTION: (1):

Moved Alderman A L Waddle, seconded Alderman R W Shipp.

That Council move into Closed Session to discuss those items previously nominated on this Agenda as Closed Session items.

CARRIED BY AN ABSOLUTE MAJORITY

Council moved into Closed Session at 4.25 pm.

RESOLUTION: (2):

Moved Alderman A L Waddle, seconded Alderman J Walters.

That Council move out of Closed Session and endorse those decisions taken while in Closed Session.

CARRIED

Council moved out of Closed Session at 5.15 pm.

The Mayor closed the Meeting at 5.15.